

## Supplementary Information

### Network equations

The network we used consisted of three input layers and an intermediate layer. The three input layers – an eye-centered layer, an eye position layer and a head-centered layer – are also output layers; the final estimates of the network are read from these layers after relaxation. Below we describe how that network was constructed and how it evolved in time.

The three input layers consist of three topographic layers of  $N$  units, where the units are indexed by their position  $j$ , where  $j = 1 \dots N$ . Similarly, the hidden layer is a topographic 2D map of  $N \times N$  units indexed by their position  $l, m$ , where  $l = 1 \dots, N$  and  $m = 1 \dots, N$ . The intermediate layer is sampled more coarsely than the input layers; this was done solely to increase simulation speed.

The input units are symmetrically interconnected with the hidden layer, and the corresponding matrices of connection weights are denoted  $W^r$ ,  $W^e$  and  $W^a$  for, respectively, the eye-centered, eye position and head-centered layers. The connection strengths between unit  $j$  in each input layer and unit  $(l, m)$  in the intermediate layer are given by

$$W_{jlm}^r = K_w \exp \left[ \frac{\cos[(j-l)\pi/N] - 1}{\sigma_w^2} \right] \quad (1)$$

$$W_{jlm}^e = K_w \exp \left[ \frac{\cos[(j-m)\pi/N] - 1}{\sigma_w^2} \right] \quad (2)$$

$$W_{jlm}^a = K_w \exp \left[ \frac{\cos[(j-l-m)\pi/N] - 1}{\sigma_w^2} \right]. \quad (3)$$

Note that with these connection matrices, unit  $(l, m)$  in the intermediate layer is most strongly interconnected with unit  $j = l$  in the eye-centered layer,  $j = m$  in the eye position layer and  $j = l+m$  in the head-centered layer. Unit  $(l, m)$  is connected more weakly to neighboring units in each layer, and the spatial extent of these connections is controlled by  $\sigma_w$ .

The evolution of activities in the recurrent network are described by a set of coupled nonlinear equations. Denoting  $A_{lm}(t)$  as the activity of unit  $(l, m)$  in the intermediate layer at time  $t$ , and  $R_{rj}(t)$ ,  $R_{ej}(t)$ , and  $R_{aj}(t)$  as the activity of unit  $j$  in the eye-centered, eye-position and head-centered layer at time  $t$ , the evolution equations are given by

$$A_{lm}(t+1) = \frac{L_{lm}(t)^2}{S + \mu \sum_{l'm'} L_{l'm'}(t)^2} \quad (4)$$

$$R_{rj}(t+1) = \frac{\left[ \sum_{lm} W_{jlm}^r A_{lm}(t+1) \right]^2}{S + \mu \sum_j \left[ \sum_{lm} W_{jlm}^r A_{lm}(t+1) \right]^2} \quad (5)$$

$$R_{ej}(t+1) = \frac{\left[ \sum_{lm} W_{jlm}^e A_{lm}(t+1) \right]^2}{S + \mu \sum_j \left[ \sum_{lm} W_{jlm}^e A_{lm}(t+1) \right]^2} \quad (6)$$

$$R_{aj}(t+1) = \frac{\left[ \sum_{lm} W_{jlm}^a A_{lm}(t+1) \right]^2}{S + \mu \sum_j \left[ \sum_{lm} W_{jlm}^a A_{lm}(t+1) \right]^2} \quad (7)$$

where  $L_{lm}(t)$  represents a linear pooling of activities from the three input layers,

$$L_{lm}(t) = \sum_j W_{jlm}^r R_{rj}(t) + \sum_j W_{jlm}^e R_{ej}(t) + \sum_j W_{jlm}^a R_{aj}(t). \quad (8)$$

In all simulation, we used  $N = 20$ , corresponding to 20 units in the input layers and a  $20 \times 20$  array of units for the intermediate layer.

### Network initialization and parameters

On each trial, the initial activity of unit  $j$  in each input layer was sampled from a Poisson distribution. For eye-centered position, the probability distribution for this initial activity, denoted  $R_{rj}(0)$ , is given by

$$P(R_{rj}(0)|x_r) = \frac{f_j(x_r)^{R_{rj}(0)} e^{-f_j(x_r)}}{R_{rj}(0)!}. \quad (9)$$

The input tuning curve,  $f_j(x_r)$ , which describes the mean response to position  $x_r$  in each input layer *before* relaxation, is taken to be a circular normal function with spontaneous activity,  $\nu$ ,

$$f_j(x_r) = C_r \left( K \exp \left[ \frac{\cos(x_r - j\pi/N) - 1}{\sigma^2} \right] + \nu \right). \quad (10)$$

The expressions for  $P(R_{ej}(0)|x_e)$  and  $P(R_{aj}(0)|x_a)$  are identical to the one in Eq. (9) except that  $r$  is replaced by  $e$  or  $a$ .

The activity in the intermediate layer,  $A_{lm}(0)$ , is initialized to 0:  $A_{lm}(0) = 0 \forall l, m$ .

The parameters  $K_w$  and  $\sigma_w$  (Eqs. (1-3)),  $S$  and  $\mu$  (Eqs. (4-7)), and  $K$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\nu$  (Eq. (10)) were fixed and identical in the three input layers. The only parameters that varied between the layers were  $C_r$ ,  $C_e$ , and  $C_a$ , the input gains for the eye-centered, eye, and head-centered layers, respectively.  $C_r$  and  $C_e$  were always fixed at 1,  $C_a$  was varied from 0 to 2. The remaining parameters were chosen as follows:  $K = 20$ ,  $\nu = 1$ ,  $\sigma = 0.45$ ,  $K_w = 1$ ,  $\mu = 0.002$ , and  $S = 0.01$ . The spatial extent of the weights,  $\sigma_w$ , was optimized with respect to the variance of the estimator (Eq. (12)); the optimum value was  $\sigma_w = 0.45$ . This parameter was optimized for  $C_r = C_e = C_a = 1$ , and not re-optimized when  $C_a$  was changed.

### Network evolution

The network equations, 4-8, were initialized as described in the previous section, then iterated. To get close to the attractor, the equations need to be iterated a large number of times. For this network, we found that “large” was two or three. Thus, in all simulations we iterated Eq. (4-8) three times.

### Network estimates and their errors

After iterating the network equations three times, we read out the position of each of the three smooth hills using a complex estimator. For instance, the network estimator of eye-centered position, denoted  $\hat{x}_r$ , was given by

$$\hat{x}_r = \text{phase} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N R_j(3) e^{ij\pi/N} \right) \quad (11)$$

where  $i \equiv \sqrt{-1}$ . The network estimates of eye position and head-centered position,  $x_e$  and  $x_a$ , respectively, were identical to Eq. (11) except that  $r$  is replaced by  $e$  or  $a$ .

To compute the variance of the network estimate of eye-centered position, we used the standard formula

$$\left\langle (x_r - \hat{x})^2 \right\rangle_{\text{network}} = \frac{1}{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^M (\hat{x}_{kr} - x_r)^2 \quad (12)$$

where  $M$  is the number of trials (we used  $M = 20,000$ ) and  $\hat{x}_{kr}$  is the network estimate for the  $k$ th trial, found using Eq. (11). The network estimates of the variance of eye position and head-centered position are identical to Eq. (12) except that  $r$  is replaced by  $e$  or  $a$ .

To avoid edge effects, we used an architecture with periodic boundary conditions. Our approach, however, is not limited to periodic functions: we can compute non-periodic functions by using arrays of units with Gaussian tuning curves. This type of network also achieves maximum likelihood, so long as the hills of activity are kept away from the edges of the neuronal arrays.

### Maximum likelihood variance

To determine how well the network performed compared to how well it could perform in principle, we compared the variances of the network estimates to the variances of the maximum likelihood estimates. In the large  $N$  limit, the latter are given by the Cramér-Rao bound [2]. Here we compute explicitly the Cramér-Rao bound for eye-centered position,  $x_r$ , then use symmetry to write down the bounds for  $x_e$  and  $x_a$ .

Because of the constraint  $x_a = x_e + x_r$ , the conditional probability of observing a set of initial conditions,  $\mathbf{R}_r(0)$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_e(0)$  and  $\mathbf{R}_a(0)$ , given  $x_r$  and  $x_e$ , is written

$$P(\mathbf{R}_r, \mathbf{R}_e, \mathbf{R}_a | x_r, x_e, x_a) = P(\mathbf{R}_r | x_r) P(\mathbf{R}_e | x_e) P(\mathbf{R}_a | x_r + x_e) \quad (13)$$

where we are assuming the noise is independent in each layer and  $\mathbf{R}_r$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_e$  and  $\mathbf{R}_a$  are shorthand for  $\mathbf{R}_r(0)$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_e(0)$  and  $\mathbf{R}_a(0)$ , respectively. (The substitution  $x_a = x_r + x_e$  is arbitrary; our answer will not depend on whether we eliminated  $x_a$  or  $x_e$ .)

For the Cramér-Rao bound we will use the diagonal elements of the inverse of the Fisher information [4]. The Fisher information is given by

$$I_{\alpha\beta} = \left\langle -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} [\log P(\mathbf{R}_r | x_r) + \log P(\mathbf{R}_e | x_e) + \log P(\mathbf{R}_a | x_r + x_e)] \right\rangle$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  can take on the values  $e$  and  $r$  and the angle brackets indicate an average with respect to the probability distribution given in Eq. (13). Performing the derivatives and taking the averages, the latter with the aid of Eq. (9), we find that

$$\mathbf{I} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I_{rr} & I_{re} \\ I_{er} & I_{ee} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_r^{-2} + \sigma_a^{-2} & \sigma_a^{-2} \\ \sigma_a^{-2} & \sigma_e^{-2} + \sigma_a^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\sigma_r^2 = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{f_i^2(x_r)}{f_i(x_r)} \right]^{-1} = \left\langle -\frac{\partial^2 \log P(\mathbf{R}_r | x_r)}{\partial x_r^2} \right\rangle^{-1}$$

is the Cramér-Rao bound for the variance of eye-position *taken alone* (this is the standard Cramér-Rao bound for Poisson statistics; see [1, 3]). Analogous expressions apply for  $\sigma_a^2$  and  $\sigma_e^2$ . When all hills are combined, the Cramér-Rao bound for the variance of eye-position, which we denote  $\sigma_r^{ML^2}$  is given by

$$\sigma_r^{ML^2} = (\mathbf{I}^{-1})_{rr} = \frac{\sigma_e^2(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2)}{\sigma_r^2 + \sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2} \quad (14)$$

The Cramér-Rao bounds for  $\sigma_e^{ML^2}$  and  $\sigma_a^{ML^2}$  can be found by permuting the indices in the numerator of Eq. (14); they are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_e^{ML^2} &= \frac{\sigma_e^2(\sigma_r^2 + \sigma_a^2)}{\sigma_r^2 + \sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2} \\ \sigma_a^{ML^2} &= \frac{\sigma_a^2(\sigma_e^2 + \sigma_r^2)}{\sigma_r^2 + \sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2}. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] M. Paradiso. A theory of the use of visual orientation information which exploits the columnar structure of striate cortex. *Biological Cybernetics*, 58:35–49, 1988.
- [2] C. Rao. *Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications*. J. Wiley, New York, 1973.

- [3] H. Seung and H. Sompolinsky. Simple model for reading neuronal population codes. *Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences. USA.*, 90:10749–10753, 1993.
- [4] K. Zhang. Representation of spatial orientation by the intrinsic dynamics of the head-direction cell ensemble: a theory. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 16(6):2112–2126, 1996.