


Scalar implicatures experimentally: some theoretical implications

Judith Degen
jdegen@uos.de



References

Blutner, R. (1998). Lexical Pragmatics. *Journal of Semantics* 15, 115-162.
Bott, L. and I. A. Noveck (2004). Some utterances are underinformative: The onset and time course of scalar inferences. *Journal of Memory and Language* 51, 437-457.
Breheny, R., N. Katsos, and J. Williams (2006). Are generalised scalar implicatures generated by default? An on-line investigation into the role of context in generating pragmatic inferences. *Cognition* 100, 434-463.
Carston, R. (1998). Informativeness, relevance and scalar implicature. In R. Carston and S. Uchida (Eds.), *Relevance Theory: Applications and Implications*, pp. 179-236. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Levinson, S. C. (2000). *Presumptive Meanings - The Theory of Generalized Conversational Implicature*. The MIT Press.
Matsumoto, Yo. (1995). The conversational condition on Horn scales. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 18, 21-60.
Noveck, I. and A. Posada (2003). Characterizing the time course of an implicature: an evoked potentials study. *Brain and Language* 85, 203-210.
Wilson, D. and D. Sperber (1995). Relevance theory. In G. Ward and L. Horn (Eds.), *Handbook of Pragmatics*, pp. 607-632. Oxford: Blackwell.

